

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/601,136 | 10/601,136 06/20/2003 | | Makoto Kudo | 81751.0062 | 5957 | |
| 26021 | 7590 | 08/04/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| HOGAN & | | SON L.L.P. | LAI, VINCENT | | | |
| SUITE 1900 | | NOL | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| LOS ANGE | LES, CA | 90071-2611 | | 2181 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 08/04/200 | 6 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|--|--|-------------------|
| Nation of Abandonment | 10/601,136 | KUDO, MAKOTO |) |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Vincent Lai | 2181 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communica | tion appears on the cover sheet w | rith the correspondence add | lress |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to (a) A reply was received on (with a Certif period for reply (including a total extension o | icate of Mailing or Transmission date f time of month(s)) which exp | ed), which is after the e ired on | |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final application in condition for allowance; (2) a tin Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance | mely filed Notice of Appeal (with app | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does no final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.11 | | | , to the non- |
| (d) ⊠ No reply has been received. | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issurant from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applic), which is after the expiration of the st Allowance (PTOL-85). | (PTOL-85). able, was received on (with a | a Certificate of Mailing or Tra | nsmission dated |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. | A balance of \$ is due. | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | | ed by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | |
| (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicab | | • | |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawing Allowability (PTO-37). | | | |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received after the expiration of the period for reply. | on (with a Certificate of Mailir | ng or Transmission dated |), which is |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received. | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signature the applicants. | ned by the attorney or agent of record | d, the assignee of the entire in | terest, or all of |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is sign 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application | | a representative capacity und | der 37 CFR |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals an of the decision has expired and there are no allo | | nd because the period for seek | king court review |
| 7. X The reason(s) below: | | Diana Rossi | \sim |
| See Continuation Sheet | SU <u>.</u> | FRITZ FLEMING PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 | ξ ξ |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests minimize any negative effects on patent term. | to withdraw the holding of abandonmen | under 37 CFR 1.181, should be p | promptly filed to |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01) | Notice of Abandonment | Part of Pap | er No. 20060731 |

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment: A phone call was made to Troy Schmelzer on August 1st, 2006 at 1:30 PM, in which the attorney was informed that application is to be abandoned for not timely responding to the non-final office action mailed out on December 14, 2005.

Examiner recognizes contention of attorney that a response was sent out in March 2006 and encourages the attorney to petition to withdraw holding of abandoment based on evidence that a reply was timely mailed or filed (See MPEP 711.03(c) [R-3], section B) if such is the case.